

## JURY QUESTIONS -- EA WORKSHOP

### Exercise A

In a WRE race a group of 5 runners is approaching the finish, they bunch closely together at the two last controls. All controls have two SportIdent units.

Runner X finishes 2<sup>nd</sup> in this group. After examination of X's SI-card, his second last control is missing. The organisers disqualify the runner, but he files a protest and he claims that he has heard the beep.

Question 1: what are possible considerations of a jury decision?

Question 2: what is your jury decision?

### Exercise B

In a WRE race, 2/3 of all runners are finished. Somehow, the time keeping crashes and no more finish times can be measured. The organiser decides to use the intermediate times from the last control as final time and publishes later a result list

A runner complains because he/she is beaten by 1 second, but he/she is known to be the fastest sprinter. The organiser denies the complaint and the runner files a protest, and requires to void the race.

Question 1: what is your opinion about the organiser's solution?

Question 2: what is your jury decision?

### Exercise C

In a WRE race with manned radio controls, runner X passes the control and his intermediate time is taken manually and transmitted to the finish area/speaker/result service.

Upon finishing the examination of the SI cards reveals that the radio control has not registered and the organiser disqualifies the runner. The runner complains and later he files a protest against the disqualification. He claims that he obviously has passed that radio control.

Question 1: what are possible considerations of a jury decision?

Question 2: what is your jury decision?

### Exercise D

In a WRE which is at the same time a national championships, the organiser prepares the start list as follows:

- On the first 80 start places all foreign runners and the lower ranked domestic runners are drawn at random
- On the last 20 places the best ranked domestic runners are seeded according to inverse order of their national ranking (the best last)

Upon reception of the start list (2 weeks prior to the event) a foreign runner files a protest and sends it to the appointed IOF advisor and he is requesting a new start draw.

The IOF advisor acts directly and requests a new start list, which is then produced by the organiser and posted on the day of the event at the centre

Question 1: is the advisor acting properly or is the matter a jury matter?

Question 2: discuss the original composition of the start list

### **Exercise E**

In a WRE race, the staff at the start discover at the second last starter that there is no map with printed course available for the very last starter. Someone prepares immediately a new map with an hand-drawn course and the last starter is able to start at the proper time. After the race the runner is disqualified because she has taken three narrowly spaced controls in a wrong sequence.

The runner files a complaint because the lines between the controls were missing and the sequence numbers rather far away from the circles. The organiser accepts the complaint and puts the runner back on the result list.

Another runner files then a protest against this organiser decision and requires that the runner is disqualified again.

Question 1: what are possible measures before a jury decision?

Question 2: what is your jury decision?

### **Exercise F**

In a World Cup event, all participating nations have submitted the preliminary entries in due time

Upon time for the start draw, a “one-man team” is still missing, and you have no final entry.

During the check of the start list he calls and he tells the IOF advisor that he will arrive during the night by car

Question 1: what are possible scenarios in this story?

Question 2: in case you decide to let him enter and make a re-draw, how do you ensure that the story will not end with a protest from other nations?

### **Exercise G**

In a WRE race, the preparations are progressing but the course design is delayed. Upon reception of the first draft, the EA is not happy with the proposed elite courses; the course designer team makes some small adjustments but the EA is still not in the position to approve the courses. The situation escalates, the course designer refuses to make more changes or to accept the proposals of the EA.

Two weeks before the event the EA calls the event director and declares that he voids the WRE status of the event

Question 1: has the EA the formal power to void a WRE?

Question 2: if Q1 is No what would then be the process to void this WRE?